

AGENDA CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS LIVE RACING COMMITTEE MEETING JOHN ALKIRE, CHAIR 11:00 A.M., TUESDAY, MARCH 3, 2015

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the California Authority of Racing Fairs' Live Racing Committee will commence at 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, March 3, 2015. The meeting will be held in Sacramento. **No Conference Call**. 1776 Tribute Road, Conference Room, Sacramento, CA 95815.

AGENDA

- I. Date, time and location of next meeting on: April 7, 2015.
- II. Public Comment.
- III. Approval of minutes.
- IV. Report, discussion and action, if any, on CARF 2015 Legislative Program.
- V. Report, discussion and action, if any, on Recruitment and Horsemen's VIP Program.
- VI. Report, discussion and action, if any, on Summer Racing Operations.
- VII. Report, discussion and action, if any, on Racing Dates for 2016 and beyond.
- VIII. Report on Horsemen's Contracts and Planning for 2015 Purse Agreements.
 - IX. Report and Discussion on CHRB Racing License Applications.
 - X. Executive Director's Report

CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS Live Racing Committee Tuesday, February 3, 2015

MINUTES

A meeting of the California Authority of Racing Fairs (CARF) Live Racing Committee was held at 11:00 A.M., Tuesday, February 3, 2015. The meeting was hosted at the CARF offices, 1776 Tribute Road, Sacramento, California.

CARF Live Racing Committee members attending: Richard Conway, Jerome Hoban, Brian May and Rick Pickering.

Staff and Guests attending: Christopher Korby, Larry Swartzlander, Heather Haviland, Amelia White, Raechelle Gibbons, Louie Brown, Jeanne Wasserman, John Quiroz, Allen Aldrich and Richard Lewis.

Agenda Item 1 – Date, Time and Location of Next Meeting: March 3, 2015. The next CARF Live Racing Committee meeting will be held Tuesday, March 3, 2015 in Sacramento.

Agenda Item 2 – Public Comment. None.

Agenda Item 3 – Approval of Minutes. Mr. Hoban moved to approve the meeting minutes as presented. Mr. Pickering seconded, unanimously approved.

Agenda Item 4 – Report, Discussion and Action, if any, on CARF 2015 Legislative Program. Mr. Brown reported that the Legislature returned in early January and the deadline to introduce new bills is February 27. Due to the number of new members, especially in the Assembly, the bill introduction process is slower than usual. Meanwhile, the respective caucuses have been meeting to develop policy agendas.

Mr. Brown reported that potential racing legislation appears light and he expects to see industry principals introducing spot bills as placeholders. Mr. Brown stated that a very active current topic at the Capitol is Internet poker. Two bills have been introduced, both in the Assembly; one additional bill is likely to be introduced in the Senate. Assemblymember Mike Gatto has introduced AB 9 and Assemblymember Reggie Jones-Sawyer has introduced AB 167. AB 167 is more inclusive and allows for the horse racing industry to obtain a license, in addition to card rooms and casinos. AB 9 only provides licensing opportunities to card rooms and tribal casinos. In 2014 the tribes could not reach a consensus regarding Internet poker and the same situation exists this year. Issues dividing the tribes are the "bad actor" language (i.e. Poker

Stars), the amount of money gaming tribes would be required to give to non-gaming tribes and the involvement of the horse racing industry. Even if the racing industry is allowed to participate, it remains to be seen how viable securing a license would be. AB 167 sets the price of a license at \$10 million. The bills are currently in a 30-day wait period.

Mr. Brown reported that the selection of committee chairs for the 2015-16 regular session have been announced. Assemblymember Adam Gray (D-Merced) is the new Chair of the Assembly Governmental Organization (G.O.) Committee and Senator Isadore Hall, III (D-South Bay)is the new Chair of the Senate Governmental Organization Committee. Assemblymember Gray has a great relationship with fairs and will be a strong ally regarding fair and racing issues. Senator Hall has vast experience in the Central Valley as the prior Assembly G.O. Committee Chair.

Mr. Brown reported that Governor Jerry Brown released his proposed 2015-2016 state budget, and it includes a \$10 million appropriation for fairs. The budget includes \$3 million to assist with fair operations, to improve the financial stability of smaller fairs statewide and provides funding to support additional fair board training. The remaining \$7 million is a one-time allocation for deferred maintenance at fairs.

Agenda Item 5 – Update on Award of Totalisator Contract. Mr. Korby reported the Totalisator contract was awarded to American Totalisator (Amtote) after a lengthy RFP process and careful consideration by a working group of industry principals. The same working group will now negotiate the terms of the contract with Amtote representatives. Implementation of the changeover in machinery will be conducted in the late fall/winter of this year. CARF and Amtote staff will work closely with the live racing and satellite network to manage any structural modifications required well in advance of the implementation date.

Agenda Item 6 – Report, Discussion and Action, if any, on Horsemen VIP Credential Program. Mr. Korby reported that CARF staff's intent is to continue the Horsemen VIP Credential Program, which has proven a successful mechanism for recognizing horsemen and making their navigation of the fair circuit as streamlined as possible. Mr. Swartzlander stated that the plan for 2015 is to expand the targeted list of recipients to include significant bettors and the connections of stake race winners.

Agenda Item 7 – Report, Discussion and Action, if any, on Planning for Recruitment in 2015. Mr. Korby reported that CARF would be continuing the successful Recruitment Program, encouraging horses to come from out of state and race at fairs. In years past, this program was targeted exclusively to out of state horsemen, but was expanded in 2013 to include a California retention and recognition component. CARF staff is working to secure founds from the California Marketing Committee to help support the program. Mr. Korby encourage fair staff and guest to provide feedback and ideas to help improve and grow the program with the basic

objective of increasing field size, which correlates directly with increasing handle. Mr. Korby stated that recruitment collateral from 2014 is included in the meeting packet.

Mr. Swartzlander stated that the potential horse population is facing three challenges this year: 1) Emerald Downs is increasing overnight purses by 20%, 2) the Los Alamitos Thoroughbred meet was detrimental to fairs in 2014, and 3) encouraging trainers that stable at Golden Gate Fields to run at fairs. Mr. Swartzlander discussed potential solutions and incentives that can be integrated this racing season.

Agenda Item 8 – Report and Discussion on Planning for 2015 Racing Operations. Mr. Korby reported that CARF staff is beginning the annual contract process for live racing services as well as horsemen's agreements and reviewing changes in personnel needs.

Mr. Swartzlander reported that Del Scott, who has printed the fair and Golden Gate Fields programs for a number of years, is reducing his work schedule and will not be printing the fair programs in 2015. CARF staff is bidding out the work, as well as looking at new alternatives for small satellite sites, such as kiosk printing or direct printing. Mr. Swartzlander stated that staff is also looking into establishing a horsemen's liaison line, expand the racing website and developing a recognition program for the winners of races.

Agenda Item 9 – Report and Discussion on CHRB Racing License Application Schedules. Mr. Korby reported that a blank CHRB racing license application is included in the meeting packets and that the first fair application, for Alameda County Fair, is due in March. Mr. Swartzlander reported that there are no changes in format and that he will be working closely with each fair to complete and submit the applications.

Agenda Item 10 – Discussion Regarding Racing Dates for 2016 and Beyond. Mr. Korby reported that this item is on the agenda as a preliminary mention that the group should prepare to discuss the 2016 racing calendar. Mr. Korby stated that the California Horse Racing Board has not provided a schedule for the race dates allocation process, but those discussions typically start in May. Mr. Korby asked fair representatives to be prepared to discuss specific concepts for a 2016 racing schedule at the March Live Racing Committee meeting. Mr. Hoban stated that 2016 is a rollback year in the calendar and that Alameda County Fair intends to conduct the fair from June 22 through July 10, 2016.

Agenda Item 11 – Executive Director's Report. Mr. Korby reported that Cal Expo planning to install a new inside safety rail and CARF staff is assisting in the preparation of the RFP. Cal Expo will be covering the cost of this installation.

Respectfully submitted, Heather Haviland



ASSEMBLYMEMBER ADAM C. GRAY 21ST ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

For Immediate Release: February 25, 2015

Contact: Mike Lynch **Phone:** (916) 319-2021

Assemblymember Gray and Senator Hall Announce Joint Proposal to Regulate iPoker in California

SACRAMENTO – Assemblymember Adam C. Gray (D-Merced), Chair of the Assembly Governmental Organization Committee, and Senator Isadore Hall (D-South Bay), Chair of the Senate Governmental Organization Committee, today announced the introduction of identical legislation to regulate intrastate Internet poker (iPoker) in California.

"This iPoker proposal must include a strong regulatory framework that protects Californians," said Assemblymember Gray. "We need to crack down on illegal online gaming and replace it with a safe and responsible entertainment option for adults, which includes safeguards against compulsive and underage gambling, money laundering, fraud, and identity theft."

AB 431 by Assemblymember Gray and SB 278 by Senator Hall would specifically authorize the operation of an iPoker website within California's borders and would require the California Gambling Control Commission in consultation with the California Department of Justice to prepare regulations for the operation of an iPoker website.

"We intend to develop a framework in California that can be a national model," said Senator Hall. "This will not be a rushed process. We look forward to moderating an open, honest, and thorough debate."

Federal law essentially prohibits online gambling by U.S. citizens but includes specific provisions that allow individual states to offer intrastate Internet gaming, provided that state laws permitting and regulating that activity could impose reasonable protections against participation by underage persons or by persons located outside the boundaries of the states. Currently, only Nevada, Delaware, and New Jersey allow for regulated intrastate Internet gaming.

"Illegal online gambling happens every day in California on sites operated by unknown persons located in countries throughout the world. Neither state nor federal laws provide any consumer protections or safeguards," said Assemblymember Gray.

"California needs a strong law that puts a stop to illegal online gambling," finished Senator Hall.

#

Assemblymember Adam C. Gray represents the 21st Assembly District which includes all of Merced County and portions of Stanislaus County.



Authorizing Online Poker in California

LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE


Presented to:

Assembly Governmental Organization Committee Hon. Isadore Hall III, Chair





Federal Law Allows States to Authorize Intrastate Online Gambling



- However, UIGEA allows states to authorize online, intrastate gambling under certain conditions. For example, the type of bet or wage must be authorized by state law and cannot violate certain federal laws. In addition, rules and regulations must be adopted to prevent minors and nonstate residents from participating in online gambling.
- In recent years, there have been various bills introduced in Congress to legalize online gambling across all states, which would likely impact the revenues that states could generate from intrastate, online gambling.
- Currently, Nevada, Delaware, and New Jersey have launched intrastate, online gambling.



Overview of Nevada Online Gaming



- Regulatory Authority. The Nevada Gaming Commission and the Nevada State Gaming Control Board share regulatory, licensing, enforcement, and adjudicatory authority over online gaming activities in the state.
- Operator Licenses. Statute restricts operator licenses to resort hotels that already possess certain gaming licenses that allow them to operate within the state. Operators must currently pay an initial two-year license fee of \$500,000 and a subsequent one-year renewal fee of \$250,000.
- State Tax Revenues. The state receives 3.5 percent, 4.5 percent, or 6.75 percent of total gross revenue received by a licensed operator, depending on the amount of gross revenue the operator generates monthly. Nevada anticipates annual revenue totaling around \$3 million.
- Player Protections. Operators are required to maintain a reserve backed by a federally insured financial institution and/ or a bona fide insurance carrier, equal to the total amount of funds held across all player accounts. Additionally, operators are required to contract with an independent certified public accountant to review the maintenance of the reserve.
- Problem Gaming Requirements. Operators must provide links to a responsible gaming website and a website to allow individuals to "self-exclude" themselves from future play. Additionally, operators must ensure that players have the ability to set limits on losses, deposits, tournament entries, buy-ins, and play time through their gaming account.



Overview of Nevada Online Gaming

(Continued)



Number of Websites and Operators. Nevada currently has three websites operated by three licensed operators: Real Gaming operated by South Point Hotel and Casino, Ultimate Poker operated by a subsidiary of Station Casinos, and World Series of Poker operated by Caesars Entertainment Corporation.



Overview of Delaware Online Gaming



- Regulatory Authority. The Delaware State Lottery Office has regulatory, licensing, enforcement, and adjudicatory authority over online gaming activities in the state.
- Operator Licenses. The State of Delaware does not license private entities to serve as online gaming operators in the same manner as Nevada and New Jersey. Instead, the state controls all gambling and licenses agents to serve on its behalf.
- State Tax Revenues. The state receives 43.5 percent of online slots revenue and 29.4 percent of revenue from all other games, excluding payments made to players. In addition, the state receives the first \$3.75 million of proceeds each fiscal year. The Delaware State Department of Finance initially estimated \$7.75 million in first-year revenues. However, the state now anticipates \$3.75 million in revenues.
- Player Protections. The State Lottery Office maintains a separate bank account to hold funds that are deposited into authorized gaming accounts.
- Problem Gaming Requirements. Individuals may submit a request to exclude themselves for one year, five years, or a lifetime. Violation of the exclusion constitutes a misdemeanor. Every licensed agent is also required to have a link to the self-exclusion page of the State Lottery website.
- Number of Websites and Operators. The state operates online gaming websites designed by 888 Holdings through its three racetrack casinos—Delaware Park, Dover Downs, and Harrington Raceway.



Overview of New Jersey Online Gaming

- Types of Games Offered. New Jersey launched online gaming in November 2013. Authorized games include poker, blackjack, roulette, and slots.
- Regulatory Authority. The New Jersey Office of the Attorney General's Division of Gaming Enforcement and the New Jersey Casino Control Commission share regulatory, licensing, enforcement, and adjudicatory authority over online gaming activities in the state.
- Operator Licenses. Statute restricts the issuance of operator licenses to casinos based in Atlantic City and requires that nearly all associated personnel and equipment be housed within the casinos. Operators must currently pay a license issuance fee of at least \$400,000 and a renewal fee of at least \$250,000. The amount paid depends on the actual cost of regulation. Licensed operators must also pay \$250,000 annually for problem gaming programs and services.
- State Tax Revenues. The state receives 15 percent of gross revenues from online gaming. Additionally, operators must either reinvest 2.5 percent of gross revenues for community and economic development projects or pay a tax consisting of 5 percent of gross revenues. New Jersey initially anticipated revenues of \$160 million to \$180 million annually. However, the state now anticipates annual revenues of \$35 million to \$50 million.
- Player Protections. Operators must maintain a New Jersey bank account—separate from all operating accounts—for funds deposited into gaming accounts. The amount in this account must be greater than or equal to the total amount that can be withdrawn from the operator's gaming accounts. Operators are also required to contract with an independent firm to conduct annual system and security assessments.



Overview of New Jersey Online Gaming (Continued)



Problem Gaming Requirements. Individuals may exclude themselves for one year, five years, or a lifetime. Websites must include a prominent message directing problem gamblers to appropriate resources and operators must provide links to responsible gaming websites and a self-exclusion application. Additionally, operators must ensure that players have the ability to set limits on deposits, spending, and play time through their gaming account.

V

Number of Websites and Operators. New Jersey currently has around a dozen distinct websites operated by seven licensed operators.



How Much Revenue Could Actually Be Generated in California?



Our analysis indicates that the actual level of state revenue that could be generated from authorizing online poker in California would depend heavily on a variety of factors. These factors include:

- Possible legal issues regarding tribal-state compacts.
- How legal poker websites would be implemented in California.
- The number of people that would play online poker, as well as the amount wagered, on legal websites.
- The extent to which the legal websites are able to capture monies that are currently being wagered on illegal websites.



Possible Legal Issues Regarding Tribal-State Compacts

- The existing tribal-state compacts that have been ratified by the Legislature require the tribes to make payments to various state accounts. For example, some ratified compacts require tribes to make annual payments to the General Fund. (The Governor's proposed budget for 2014-15 estimates that the General Fund will receive approximately \$330 million in revenue from these tribes in the current year.)
- However, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal decided in the *Rincon Band v. Schwarzenegger* case that the state could not require tribes to make payments to the General Fund. While the compacts requiring these payments still stand, these tribes will likely no longer make such payments when they are renegotiated.
- Existing compacts also contain provisions limiting the state's ability to authorize certain types of gambling that could compete with the tribal casinos. For example, if the state authorizes certain types of competing gambling enterprises, these compacts may allow the tribes to stop making payments to state accounts, such as those that support nongaming tribes, regulatory bodies, problem gaming resources, and local mitigation.
- There could be legal challenges that legal poker websites violate the above provisions in tribal-state compacts if online poker is approved in California. If such challenges were upheld, the state could lose hundreds of millions of dollars in revenue annually. Thus, the Legislature should work closely with the Office of Legislative Counsel and other legal experts to assess these risks if it wishes to consider proposals in this area.



How Legal Poker Web Sites Could Be Implemented in California

- State Share of "Gross Revenues." One of the primary factors in how much revenue the state would generate is what portion of gross revenues it would receive from the legal website operators. One goal could be to maximize the benefit from these activities to the state. On the other hand, if the state were to require too large a share, such operators may not retain sufficient funds to effectively compete with the operators of illegal websites (such as for marketing and incentives to retain players).
- State Regulations. The state would incur regulatory costs to prevent unauthorized users from playing on legal poker websites. In addition, it is not clear what specific steps individuals in California would need to take to be able to play on legal poker websites (such as providing proof of residency, location verification, and paying registration fees). If these requirements were extensive, however, they could be a barrier to player participation.
- Number of Websites. Although the existence of multiple legal poker websites within California could promote competition, having too many sites could result in each site not having sufficient players to facilitate poker games, as sometimes occurs on illegal websites. This seems to be an issue facing the three states currently operating online gaming.
- Tax Revenue. The website could be designed to make it easier to collect income taxes on net winnings. Currently, players using illegal websites have little incentive to report gambling winnings for tax purposes. The state could require that such websites report such information to the state. However, this could deter some players from using legal websites. The state would also collect taxes from the operators of legal poker websites as well as their employees.



Various Factors Could Impact Player Participation in Legal Online Poker

- Cost to Play. If the cost of playing on legal poker websites was greater than the cost of playing on illegal websites, due to taxes and fees paid by the players, there could be a fiscal disincentive to play and wager similar amounts on legal sites.
- Security and Regulation. Unlike illegal poker websites, the legal websites would be able to provide players with a greater sense of security and comfort in that they would know that they are not engaging in an illegal activity.
- Incentives to Retain Players. Many of the existing illegal websites offer financial incentives to retain players. For example, some sites offer bonuses to players that they can collect only after playing a predetermined amount of time. This could make it more difficult for new California online websites to capture a share of the market in the near term. The state could allow similar incentives for its games.
- Games Offered. Legal poker websites would have to compete with existing illegal websites that have little or no limits on the types of games offered.



Two Potential Sources of State Gaming Revenues From Online Poker



State Revenues From Redirecting Wagering From Illegal Websites

- Several studies estimate that illegal websites receive roughly \$300 million to \$400 million in gross revenue from Californians participating in online poker.
- While it is not known how many current California players would switch from illegal websites, these potential gambling activities could generate new revenues for the state.

$\sqrt{}$

State Revenues From Generating More Wagering

- Revenue generated from (1) existing players betting more than they otherwise would or (2) individuals that currently do not play online poker would be offset, to an unknown extent, by a reduction in existing state revenues.
- This is because individuals would generally spend less consuming other goods and services because more of their income would be spent on online gambling.

CA Authority of Racing Fairs Legislative Report - Last 10 Days 2/20/2015

AB 315 (Bigelow R) District agricultural associations.

Current Text: Introduced: 2/12/2015 pdf html

Introduced: 2/12/2015

Status: 2/13/2015-From printer. May be heard in committee March 15.

Location: 2/12/2015-A. PRINT

Desk Policy Fiscal Floor	Desk Policy Fiscal Floor	Conf.	Enrolled	Votood	Chantered
1st House	2nd House	Conc.	Lillolled	vetoeu	Chaptered

Summary: Existing law divides the state into agricultural districts, and provides for the management of each district by a district agricultural association. Existing law sets forth the powers and duties of a district agricultural association, including, among others, the power to contract in accordance with specified requirements. This bill would make nonsubstantive changes to the latter provisions.

Organization Position CARF Watch

SB 187 (<u>Hall</u> D) Horse racing: out-of-country thoroughbred races.

Current Text: Introduced: 2/9/2015 pdf html

Introduced: 2/9/2015

Status: 2/19/2015-Referred to Com. on G.O.

Location: 2/19/2015-S. G.O.

	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf.	Enrolled	Votood	Chaptered
1		1st H	ouse			2nd F	louse		Conc.	Lilionea	vetoeu	Chaptered

Summary: The Horse Racing Law authorizes a thoroughbred racing association or fair to distribute the audiovisual signal and accept wagers on the results of out-of-state thoroughbred races conducted in the United States during the calendar period the association or fair is conducting a race meeting, including days on which there is no live racing being conducted by the association or fair, without the consent of the organization that represents horsemen and horsewomen participating in the race meeting and without regard to the amount of purses. Under that law, the total number of thoroughbred races imported by associations or fairs on a statewide basis under these provisions are required to not exceed 50 per day on days when live thoroughbred or fair racing is being conducted in the state, with the exception of prescribed races, including races imported that are part of the race card of the Kentucky Derby, the Kentucky Oaks, the Preakness Stakes, the Belmont Stakes, the Jockey Club Gold Cup, the Travers Stakes, the Arlington Million, the Breeders' Cup, the Dubai Cup, the Arkansas Derby, the Apple Blossom Handicap, or the Haskell Invitational. This bill would delete from the 50 imported race per day limitation, races imported that are part of the race card of the Dubai Cup. The bill would instead exempt from the 50 imported race per day limitation, races imported that are part of the race card of any out-of-country thoroughbred race approved by the California Horse Racing Board.

Organization Position CARF Watch

SB 221 (Jackson D) State public employees: sick leave: veterans with service-related disabilities.

Current Text: Introduced: 2/12/2015 pdf html

Introduced: 2/12/2015

Status: 2/13/2015-From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 15.

Location: 2/12/2015-S. PRINT

Ì	Desk	Policy F	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf.	Enrolled	Votood	Chaptered
		1st Ho	use			2nd F	louse		Conc.	Lillolled	vetoeu	Chaptered

Summary: Existing law prescribes the general work week policy for state employees, subject to specified exceptions, and the terms and conditions for accrual of vacation and sick leave. Existing law generally provides that a state officer or employee who is employed full time accrues one day of credit for sick leave for each calendar month of service. Existing law requires that if these provisions conflict with an adopted memorandum of understanding, the memorandum of understanding controls, as specified. This bill would grant a state officer or employee who is a military veteran with a service-connected disability rated at 30% or more by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs an additional credit for sick leave with pay of up to 96 hours for the purpose of undergoing medical treatment for his or her service-related disability. The bill would require that the sick leave be credited to a qualifying officer or employee on the first day of employment and remain available for use for the following 12 months of employment. The bill would prohibit this sick leave from being carried over after 12 months and would permit submission of satisfactory proof that the sick leave is being used for treatment of a service-connected disability to be required, as specified.

Organization Position CARF Watch



Racing Surfaces
Testing Laboratory
Orono, Maine USA





FIGURE 1: Sand with high percentage of quartz (~80%). A) Before abrasion resistance test and B) after.






FIGURE 2: Sand with low percentage of quartz (~3%). A) Before abrasion resistance test and B) after.

The Durability of Sand Constituents in Dirt Racetrack Surface Materials

Julia Bradson, Eliza M. Cronkite, M.S., Christie A. Mahaffey, Ph.D.

Introduction

Amending and replacing racing surface material are necessary parts of track maintenance. Documentation of this process is often limited and material types differ between racetracks based on climate and local conditions. Therefore having improved data regarding the constituents of the surface material can help track superintendents better justify materials needed for track maintenance.

The mechanics of dirt racing surfaces are dependent on the moisture content and the composition of the material. Sand mixtures with smaller pores behave differently than sand mixtures with larger pores, retaining more moisture between grains. As a surface material changes due to wear, the moisture content necessary to maintain shear strength and compaction also changes. The sand becomes smaller and the fine material from the wear process can fill the pores. The change in the mechanical properties of the surface materials is critical to providing the required biomechanical properties for the horse.


The rate at which changes in the sand occur depends on mineral content and the shape of the sand grains. Sand with a high percentage of silica, typically in the form of quartz, is much more resistant to weathering and abrasion due to the level of hardness of quartz. More rounded sand will also tend to be more durable. With the frequent harrowing and heavy traffic on a racetrack, the changes to the sand grains from abrasion can occur quickly depending on the type of sand. The selection of more durable sand, if available, is usually the preferred method of avoiding these issues. However, if more durable sand is not available, frequent amendment of material and management of lost fine material is critical to the maintenance of a consistent racing surface.


Abrasion Resistance Testing

The selection of more durable and consistent sand can dramatically reduce changes to a track surface. Racing Surfaces Testing Laboratory (RSTL) has adopted and revised a sand durability test using a Micro-Deval apparatus, commonly used by the Department of Transportation. This abrasion resistance test allows for quantification of the durability of dirt track surface materials.

Abrasion resistance testing measures the abrasion resistance and durability of the sand used in a track surface. The quality of the sand is determined by the abrasion loss when a material is combined with water and an abrasive force. Track material undergoes mechanical abrasion using calibrated steel balls in the Micro-Deval apparatus. This allows for calculation of the percentage of material lost by comparing the weight of the sample before and after abrasion. Like the wear processes of the sand on the racetrack, the metal balls wear in the test apparatus and lose material in the same way that the harrow teeth and horse shoes wear. This







FtGURE 3: The amount of change in a track material as a result of abrasion resistance testing.

A) Sediment with a high percentage of quartz (approximately 80%), and B) low percentage of quartz (approximately 3%).

This Racing Surfaces Testing Laboratory
Technical Bulletin for Track Surface
Education is one in a series of papers
directed toward a general audience
with a common interest in developing
consistent and reliable track surfaces. This
and subsequent bulletins can be found
at the Racing Surfaces Testing Laboratory
website: racingsurfaces.org/bulletins

The Racing Surfaces Testing Laboratory is a product of efforts by The Racing Surfaces Committee that was formed at the inaugural Welfare and Safety of the Racehorse Summit in 2006.

wear is addressed by weighing the balls before and after each test.

These test results are useful when evaluating the hardness of a material like the sand on a track which is worn by abrasive processes such as harrowing and horse traffic. By measuring changes in the size and the type of material created from the wear, it is possible to determine if the material used on a track will require frequent amendments. Abrasion resistance testing also reveals some interesting information on the effects of abrasion when looking at mineral shape and grain size. In the scientific literature, it has been demonstrated for samples of the same mineralogy, flat or angular particles will have more material loss due to abrasion. Wear of the particles is due to chipping of corners.

Mineralogy

Test results indicate the mineralogy of a sample as a key component to the abrasion resistance of a track surface material. The mineralogy is determined using x-ray diffraction (XRD). Quartz is one of the hardest minerals in sand, and therefore resists abrasion. Sand with a high percentage of quartz is less likely to lose material due to abrasion than those with low percentages of quartz. The particle size distribution before and after abrasion testing for two track materials is shown in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 3 compares percentages of quartz and the amount of changes to the sediment sample as the result of testing. Sand with a higher percentage of quartz is clearly more durable and results in less generation of fine materials.

Conclusion

Dirt tracks with higher percentages of quartz and sub-rounded sand grains are more resistant to abrasion and therefore resist degradation of the track surface. Conversely, track surfaces with lower percentages of quartz or angular grains will require replacement of the surface material more frequently and may generate fine material that leads to compaction of the surface. XRD and abrasion resistance testing, in combination with sieve and hydrometer testing, provides highly valuable data as to how readily a track surface material degrades. Dirt tracks in North America have a wide range of quartz content (40-90%). Quantifying the durability of the sand used for the tracks can help determine the proper maintenance and required monitoring of the surface. The result can help to improve the consistency of the surface and provide trainers, riders and jockeys a fair and consistent surface for racing and training.

Acknowledgements

Support from the Los Angeles Turf Club Inc. for the development of the sand durability protocol and the purchase of durability test equipment is greatly appreciated.

Racing Surfaces Testing Laboratory encourages the distribution and reprinting of these bulletins. For further information, contact:

Michael "Mick" Peterson, Ph.D., Executive Director, Racing Surfaces Testing Laboratory, 2 Summer Street #1, Orono, Maine 04473 PH: 207-866-1046 racingsurfaces.org mlpeterson23@gmail.com

Calendar for year 2015 (United States)

	January											
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa						
				1	2	3						
4	5	6	7	8	9	10						
11	12	13	14	15	16	17						
18	19	20	21	22	23	24						
25	26	27	28	29	30	31						

	February										
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
8	9	10	11	12	13	14					
15	16	17	18	19	20	21					
22	23	24	25	26	27	28					

	March										
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
8	9	10	11	12	13	14					
15	16	17	18	19	20	21					
22	23	24	25	26	27	28					
29	30	31									

	April										
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa					
			1	2	3	4					
5	6	7	8	9	10	11					
12	13	14	15	16	17	18					
19	20	21	22	23	24	25					
26	27	28	29	30							

	May										
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa					
					1	2					
3	4	5	6	7	8	9					
10	11	12	13	14	15	16					
17	18	19	20	21	22	23					
24	25	26	27	28	29	30					
31											

	June										
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa					
	1	2	3	4	5	6					
7	8	9	10	11	12	13					
14	15	16	17	18	19	20					
21	22	23	24	25	26	27					
28	29	30									

	July										
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa					
			1	2	3	4					
5	6	7	8	9	10	11					
12	13	14	15	16	17	18					
19	20	21	22	23	24	25					
26	27	28	29	30	31						

	August										
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa					
						1					
2	3	4	5	6	7	8					
9	10	11	12	13	14	15					
16	17	18	19	20	21	22					
23	24	25	26	27	28	29					
30	31										

	September										
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa					
		1	2	3	4	5					
6	7	8	9	10	11	12					
13	14	15	16	17	18	19					
20	21	22	23	24	25	26					
27	28	29	30								

October								
Su	Мо	Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa						
				1	2	3		
4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
18	19	20	21	22	23	24		
25	26	27	28	29	30	31		

	November						
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
29	30						

	December								
Su	Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa								
		1	2	3	4	5			
6	7	8	9	10	11	12			
13	14	15	16	17	18	19			
20	21	22	23	24	25	26			
27	28	29	30	31					

Calendar for year 2016 (United States)

	January								
Su	Mo Tu We Th Fr			Fr	Sa				
					1	2			
3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16			
17	18	19	20	21	22	23			
24	25	26	27	28	29	30			
31									

	February							
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
28	29							

March								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
		1	2	3	4	5		
6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
13	14	15	16	17	18	19		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26		
27	28	29	30	31				

April								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
					1	2		
3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
10	11	12	13	14	15	16		
17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
24	25	26	27	28	29	30		

	May							
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
8	9	10	11	12	13	14		
15	16	17	18	19	20	21		
22	23	24	25	26	27	28		
29	30	31						

	June								
Su	Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa								
			1	2	3	4			
5	6	7	8	9	10	11			
12	13	14	15	16	17	18			
19	20	21	22	23	24	25			
26	27	28	29	30					

July								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
					1	2		
3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
10	11	12	13	14	15	16		
17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
24	25	26	27	28	29	30		
31								

	August								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa			
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
7	8	9	10	11	12	13			
14	15	16	17	18	19	20			
21	22	23	24	25	26	27			
28	29	30	31						

	September							
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
				1	2	3		
4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
18	19	20	21	22	23	24		
25	26	27	28	29	30			

October								
Su	Мо	Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa						
						1		
2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15		
16	17	18	19	20	21	22		
23	24	25	26	27	28	29		
30	31							

	November							
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
		1	2	3	4	5		
6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
13	14	15	16	17	18	19		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26		
27	28	29	30					

	December							
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
				1	2	3		
4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
18	19	20	21	22	23	24		
25	26	27	28	29	30	31		
	_							

Calendar for year 2017 (United States)

	January								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14			
15	16	17	18	19	20	21			
22	23	24	25	26	27	28			
29	30	31							

	February								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa			
			1	2	3	4			
5	6	7	8	9	10	11			
12	13	14	15	16	17	18			
19	20	21	22	23	24	25			
26	27	28							

	March							
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
			1	2	3	4		
5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
12	13	14	15	16	17	18		
19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
26	27	28	29	30	31			

	April								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa			
						1			
2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
9	10	11	12	13	14	15			
16	17	18	19	20	21	22			
23	24	25	26	27	28	29			
30									

	May								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa			
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
7	8	9	10	11	12	13			
14	15	16	17	18	19	20			
21	22	23	24	25	26	27			
28	2 9	30	31						

June									
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa			
				1	2	3			
4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
11	12	13	14	15	16	17			
18	19	20	21	22	23	24			
25	26	27	28	29	30				

	July								
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa			
						1			
2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
9	10	11	12	13	14	15			
16	17	18	19	20	21	22			
23	24	25	26	27	28	29			
30	31								

	August							
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		
		1	2	3	4	5		
6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
13	14	15	16	17	18	19		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26		
27	28	29	30	31				


September							
Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa	
					1	2	
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
24	25	26	27	28	29	30	

October											
Su	Мо	Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
8	9	10	11	12	13	14					
15	16	17	18	19	20	21					
22	23	24	25	26	27	28					
29	29 30 31										

	November										
Su	Mo Tu We Th Fr S										
			1	2	3	4					
5	6	7	8	9	10	11					
12	13	14	15	16	17	18					
19	20	21	22	23	24	25					
26	27	28	29	30							

December											
Su	Мо	Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa									
					1	2					
3	4	5	6	7	8	9					
10	11	12	13	14	15	16					
17	18	19	20	21	22	23					
24	25	26	27	28	29	30					
31											










RECRUITMENT • RETENTION • RECOGNITION

2014

CONTENTS



RECRUITMENT PROGRAM

01 Introduction

Letter from the Executive Director.

02 2014 Strategy

Outline of 2014 recruitment program tactics.

03 Budget

2014 budget expense by category.

04 Recruitment Program Results

- **05** Retention Program Results
- **06** Recognition VIP Program
- **07** Advertising Samples

PAYMASTER PAYMENT REPORTS

09 Purse Transaction Reports for Relocation Fees Paid

Paymaster report that shows relocation costs by transaction. Each transaction includes the name of the account paid, amount paid and the horse associated with each transaction.

14 Trainer Starter Incentive

Report that shows payments made to qualifying trainers as well as entry and start statistics for 2013 and 2014.

APPENDIX

17 Past Program ResultsResults overview for years 2009-2014.

PROGRAM EXECUTION

Christopher Korby - Executive Director California Authority of Racing Fairs

Larry Swartzlander - COO
California Authority of Racing Fairs

Tom Doutrich - Racing Secretary
California Authority of Racing Fairs

Victoria Layne - Paymaster of Purses California Authority of Racing Fairs

Heather Haviland - Director of Marketing California Authority of Racing Fairs



INTRODUCTION

▶ In 2001, CARF developed a program to actively recruit out-ofstate owners, trainers and horses to compete on the Northern California Summer Racing Circuit. The goal of the program was simple: increase the field size for summer racing in Northern California.

In order to accomplish this goal, CARF set three objectives for the initial program: 1) inform and educate horsemen in other jurisdictions about the benefits of racing in California, 2) retain horsemen who have elected to compete in Northern California in previous years, and 3) offer financial incentives to assist horsemen with the cost of shipping horses to Northern California.

The CARF recruitment program proved successful in bringing horsemen to California and increasing field size. From 2001 to 2014, the CARF recruitment program paid more than \$744,000 in shipping incentives to horses that made upwards of 4,185 starts.

The landscape of racing in California has changed dramatically since the recruitment program's inception in 2001. We're finding that retention and recognition of horsemen have become just as important as recruitment. Consequently, we're adjusting the incentives in our program so that the elements of *recruitment*, *retention* and *recognition* will each contribute to the program's primary objective: increase field size.

We're pleased to report that the changes to our program in 2013 and 2014 were met with positive response from horsemen. Once again this year, the program proved itself to be a successful component of our summer racing in Northern California.

In closing, we want to express our sincere thanks to all the owners and trainers who participated in our meets this last year and extend a cordial invitation to join us again in 2015.

CHRISTOPHER KORBY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS

OUR STRATEGY

The 2014 CARF Recruitment **Program was** expanded to include recruitment, starter incentives and a VIP Horsemen's program.

RECRUITMENT **OUT-OF-STATE SHIPPING INCENTIVES**

▶ Each spring since 2001, CARF has scheduled a series of out-of-state recruitment visits to personally meet one-on-one with horsemen in other jurisdictions and invite them to complete in Northern California for the summer.

To give extra meaning to our invitation and to financially assist horsemen who choose to ship to our circuit, CARF pays a \$300 Relocation Fee to the designated payee of any horse making its first start after shipping over 400 miles. A qualifying runner making its second start on the Fair Circuit will receive \$150.

RETENTION STARTER INCENTIVES & FIFLD SIZE

In an effort to retain Northern California trainers, maximize field size and offset the cost of shipping, CARF offered a \$1,000 incentive for trainers making 10 or more starts. Trainers making 5-9 starts received a \$500 bonus. This program realized a 15% increase in the number of entries made by qualifying trainers and a 22% increase in the number of starts.

RECOGNITION HORSEMEN VIP PROGRAM

▶ The CARF VIP Horsemen's Program provides horsemen with a single set of parking and admission credentials for the CARF Fair Circuit. The VIP Horsemen database is also used to distribute a packet of welcome information prior to the Fairs.

CARF paid \$40,800 in relocation fees to 121 Thoroughbred horses which made 253 starts on the 2014 Fair Circuit.



CARF paid \$114,000 in trainer incentives to trainers who made 1.339 starts on the CARF circuit.



The CARF VIP Horsemen credentials simplify many of the logistical challenges horsemen face moving Fair to Fair.



PROGRAM BUDGET

The CARF Recruitment Program is funded by allocations from member Fairs and from the California Marketing Committee (CMC). In 2013 and 2014, staff decided to forego the traditional out-of-state recruitment trips, receptions and dinners in favor of a direct mail piece to our database of out-of-state horsemen. Funds that normally would have been allocated for recruitment trips were instead redirected to develop new programs to increase field size.



EXPENSE TYPE	2014 COST
RECRUITMENT (400 or more miles)	
Recruitment - Travel & Receptions	1,562
Relocation Fees Paid (All Breeds)	58,650
Out-of-State Trainer Incentives	3,000
Advertising - Out-of-State Recruitment	10,465
RETENTION & FIELD SIZE	
Starter Incentive (Pleasanton)	36,500
Oak Tree Handcp. Incentive (Pleasanton)	7,000
Starter Incentive (Cal Expo)	22,000
Starter Incentive (Ferndale)	15,500
Starter Incentive (Stockton)	12,000
Starter Incentive (Fresno)	21,000
RECOGNITION - VIP PROGRAM	
Hard Costs	3,717
Giveaways	3,441
TOTAL 2013 RECRUITMENT PROGRAM	\$194,835

RECRUITMENT RESULTS

▶ The CARF Out-of-State Recruitment Program, administered by the California Authority of Racing Fairs staff, makes available \$300 to every horse that travels 400 miles or more to start on the Northern California Fair Circuit for its first start and \$150 for its second start (\$450 total). A runner making its first start at Ferndale receives \$150 for each of two starts, with another \$150 available if the horse starts again at Stockton and/or Fresno.

During recruiting efforts prior to our first meet, horsemen are notified of the program and invited to visit or call the paymaster when running an out-of-state horse. The paymaster maintains a ledger of eligible horses and verifies by past performances or Coggins test paperwork that the horse has traveled 400 miles or more to run on the Fairs. Payment is made by a transfer into the account of the owner or trainer of the horse.

121 OUT-OF-STATE
THOROUGHBREDS
RECEIVED \$40,800
in relocation fee payments at an

average cost of \$161 per start.

OUT-OF-STATE
THOROUGHBREDS MADE
282 STARTS

on the 2014 Northern California Fair Circuit.

OUT-OF-STATE
THOROUGHBREDS MADE
UP 10 PERCENT

of the total 2014 Northern California Fair horse population by starts.


OUT-OF-STATE STARTS BY FAIR: Pleasanton - 45, Sacramento - 21, Santa Rosa - 57 Ferndale - 76, Stockton - 26, Fresno - 28

Impact of Recruited Horses on Field Size

8 7.60 7.12 7.08 7.10 7 6.48 6.45 6.45 6.40 6.03 6.00 6 5.59 5 4.49 4 SAC SR STK PLN FER **FNO** 2014 Average Fair Field Size Field Size w/o Recruited Horses

2014 Golden Gate Fields Average Field Size - 6.90

Recruitment by State



RETENTION RESULTS

44


▶ In an effort to retain Northern California trainers, maximize field size and offset the cost of shipping, CARF offered a \$1,000 incentive for trainers making 10 or more starts at CARF member tracks. Trainers making 5-9 starts received a \$500 bonus. This program realized a 15% increase in the number of entries made by qualifying trainers and a 22% increase in the number of starts.


77



PLEASANTON - 56 TRAINERS QUALIFIED FOR THE STARTER INCENTIVE PROGRAM

Entries by these trainers were up 26% (690 in 2013 compared to 866 in 2014) and starts were up 24% (408 in 2013 compared to 505 in 2014).







CAL EXPO - 24 TRAINERS QUALIFIED FOR THE STARTER INCENTIVE PROGRAM

With one day less racing, entries by these trainers were up 14% (276 in 2013 compared to 316 in 2014) and starts were up 14% (157 in 2013 compared to 179 in 2014).



Entries by these trainers were up 10% (315 in 2013 compared to 345 in 2014) and starts were up 15% (207 in 2013 compared to 239 in 2014).






STOCKTON - 23 TRAINERS QUALIFIED FOR THE STARTER INCENTIVE PROGRAM

Entries by these trainers were up 11% (211 in 2013 compared to 234 in 2014) and starts were up 34% (108 in 2013 compared to 145 in 2014).

FRESNO - 31 TRAINERS QUALIFIED FOR THE STARTER INCENTIVE PROGRAM


Entries by these trainers were up 5% (415 in 2013 compared to 437 in 2014) and starts were up 26% (215 in 2013 compared to 271 in 2014).




RECOGNITION - VIP

The VIP Horsemen's Program provides horsemen with a single set of parking and admission credentials for the CARF Fair Circuit - allowing horsemen to move from fair to fair without having to secure a new parking pass every two weeks.

VIP credentials are distributed by the Racing Secretary in the Racing Office.









ADVERTISING



October 2-13 (9 Days)

Tom Doutrich (Racing Secretary): (415) 271-4613 Larry Swartzlander

(COO): (916) 799-7084

Vicki Layne

(916) 715-8642

STARTER BONUS \$50 Starter Bonus for each Thoroughbred and Emerging Breed Start. **OUT-OF-STATE HORSES** \$300 1st start, \$150 2nd start (\$150/\$150 Ferndale). **VIP PROGRAM** VIP Program provides one parking pass/ credential for the CARF Fair Circuit, special access and other perks. Contact the Racing Secretary for details. **Thoroughbred Trainer** Thoroughbred Trainers making 5-9 starts during Oak Tree at Pleasanton, Stockton,

Ferndale (TB & EB) and Fresno will receive a \$500 Bonus at each meet where they reach the start requirement.

Trainers making 10 or more starts will receive a \$1,000 BONUS.

BONUS UP TO

Thoroughbred Trainers making 5-9 starts at Cal Expo will receive an \$800 Bonus.

Trainers making 10 or more starts will receive a \$1,500 BONUS.

Stakes Schedule

Date	Track	Race	Purse	Description
JUN 21	OTP	Oak Tree Handicap	\$100,000 Guaranteed	3YO & UP - 1 & 1/8 Miles
JUN 22	OTP	Pleasanton Oaks	\$50,000 Added*	F, 3YO - 6 Furlongs
JUN 28	OTP	Oak Tree Distaff	\$100,000 Guaranteed	F&M, 3 & UP Cal-Breds 6 Furlongs
JUL 4	OTP	Oak Tree Sprint	\$75,000 Guaranteed	3YO & UP - 6 Furlongs
JUL 5	OTP	Juan Gonzalez Memorial Overnight Stakes	\$50,000 Added*	F, 2YO - 5.5 Furlongs
JUL 6	OTP	Everett Nevin Stakes	\$50,000 Added*	2YO - 5.5 Furlongs
JUL 19	SAC	Governor's Handicap	\$75,000 Guaranteed	F&M, 3YO & UP - 1 & 1/16 Miles
AUG 24	FER	C.J. Hindley Humboldt County Marathon	\$20,000 Guaranteed	3YO & UP - 13 Furlongs
SEP 20	STK	Stockton Asparagus Stakes	\$50,000 Guaranteed	3YO - 6 Furlongs
OCT 5	FNO	Harris Farms Stakes	\$100,000 Guaranteed	3YO & UP (Cal Breds) - 6 Furlongs
OCT 11	FNO	The Harvest Stakes	\$50,000 Added**	F&M, 3YO & UP - 6 Furlongs
OCT 12	FNO	The Bulldog Handicap	\$75,000 Guaranteed	3YO & UP - 1 & 1/8 Miles



Toll-Free Entries:

(877) 722-3860 (877) 722-3071

SAMPLES







Transaction Type Report For Types: RE

From 01/01/2014 To 01/27/2015

	From 01/01/2014 To 01/27/2015	Jan 27,2015 11:32 AM
CARF	Facilities: CARF	Page 1 of 5

Fac.	Date	From	Account Name	То	Account Name	Amount	Memo
							-
			Relocation Costs				
CRF	1/15/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg	150.00	Hitdamark
CRF	1/15/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-39927	Stephen C. Fisher	150.00	I.B. Dreamin
CRF	1/15/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-37206	W. R. Whitehouse	150.00	Lady Roll On
CRF	1/15/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-37206	W. R. Whitehouse	300.00	Casual Encounter
CRF	1/15/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-39537	Gary C. Hughes	150.00	Atta Boy Lucky
CRF	6/21/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-38532	Margie Cantrell	300.00	Captain Gavel
CRF	6/21/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-38532	Margie Cantrell	300.00	Anasazi Maiden
CRF	6/22/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-29270	Rhonda B. Davis	300.00	Hub Cap
CRF	6/22/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-29270	Rhonda B. Davis	300.00	New Karma
CRF	6/22/2014		Relocation Costs	T-29270	Rhonda B. Davis		Jackson Strutt
CRF	6/22/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-33401	Cindy Scharli or Susan Willis	300.00	Blowing Kissis
CRF	6/22/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-40339	Arleva N. Feddema and John R. Feddema	300.00	Blazing Wings
CRF	6/26/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-40195	Anthony DeMarco	300.00	Awfully Lucky
CRF	6/26/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40195	Anthony DeMarco		Rondo Hondo
CRF	6/26/2014		Relocation Costs	T-37191	Dan Morgan	300.00	Gray Elixir
CRF	6/26/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-37191	Dan Morgan		Perfect Indication
CRF	6/26/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-37191	Dan Morgan	300.00	Sassy Flirtini
CRF	6/27/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-40195	Anthony DeMarco		Chopin Red Sky
CRF	6/27/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-37191	Dan Morgan	300.00	Blaise of Light
CRF	6/28/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-40339	Arleva N. Feddema and John R. Feddema	150.00	Blazing Wings
CRF	6/28/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-40195	Anthony DeMarco	300.00	Latin Coffee
CRF	6/29/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40195	Anthony DeMarco		Our Magic Couple
CRF	6/29/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-40195	Anthony DeMarco		Miss California
CRF	6/29/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-37191	Dan Morgan	300.00	Canducharlie
CRF	6/29/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-37191	Dan Morgan	300.00	Chase Man
CRF	7/9/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-38532	Margie Cantrell	150.00	Anasazi Maiden
CRF	7/10/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-40643	Salem Binmahfooz	300.00	TA Dance Withthe Star
CRF	7/10/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-28594	Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett	300.00	Territorial
CRF	7/10/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-28594	Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett	300.00	On The Sunnyside
CRF	7/10/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-28594	Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett	300.00	Always a Sunrise
CRF	7/10/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-28594	Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett	300.00	Amber Moon
CRF	7/10/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-28594	Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett		Gryphon Gold
CRF	7/10/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-28594	Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett	300.00	Finally Sunday
CRF	7/10/2014	C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-30086	John Qualtrough	300.00	CV Burning Desire
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	O-40642	Cre-Run Enterprises LLC		In X Hess
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	O-35449	Sam A Vasquez		Sammy V
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	O-35449	Sam A Vasquez		Lacy Vaz
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	O-35449	Sam A Vasquez		My Vaz is Hot
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	O-35449	Sam A Vasquez		Golly Braebrae
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	O-40643	Salem Binmahfooz		TA Line King
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	O-35449	Sam A Vasquez		Es Mi Cielo
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg		My Nitro
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg		Nivours Romance
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg		My Hemi Magnum
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg		Aimee Oaklee PW
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg		WMA Destination
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg		My Kaliber
CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	T-29270	Rhonda B. Davis		Shockher Toppher
CRF CRF	7/10/2014		Relocation Costs	T-37191 O-29477	Dan Morgan		Gray Elixir
	7/12/2014		Relocation Costs		Jim Schleimer		TA Barn Dance
CRF	7/12/2014		Relocation Costs	T-37191	Dan Morgan		Sassy Flirtini
CRF	7/12/2014		Relocation Costs	T-37136	Molly J. Pearson		Myrna Lou
CRF	7/12/2014		Relocation Costs	T-37136	Molly J. Pearson		Highway Boss
CRF	7/12/2014		Relocation Costs	O-35449	Sam A Vasquez		By Golly Its Hot
CRF	7/12/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg		Aimee Oakley PW
CRF	7/12/2014		Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg		Scuddydos
CRF	7/12/2014		Relocation Costs	O-39781	Mark Vasquez		Laurie Lu
CRF	7/12/2014	U-30636	Relocation Costs	O-10150	Allen J. Aldrich	300.00	Bag's of Fun

Transaction Type Report For Types: RE

From 01/01/2014 To 01/27/2015

8/10/2014 C-30636

8/10/2014 C-30636

Relocation Costs

Relocation Costs

T-37206

O-40641

W. R. Whitehouse

Renee Mary LaFleur

CRF

CRF

Jan 27,2015 11:32 AM **CARF Facilities: CARF** Page 2 of 5 Date Account Name Fac. From **Account Name Amount Memo** 7/12/2014 C-30636 CRF Relocation Costs T-40195 Anthony DeMarco 150.00 Awfully Lucky 300.00 Atlantra's Tantrum CRF 7/12/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-38532 Margie Cantrell **CRF** 7/13/2014 C-30636 T-38532 Margie Cantrell 150.00 Captain Gavel Relocation Costs **CRF** 7/16/2014 C-30636 O-10599 William B. Cox 450.00 Royal Marie Relocation Costs CRF 7/16/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-10599 William B. Cox 450.00 Vice Sized **CRF** 7/16/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-10599 William B. Cox 450.00 Blazingly 7/16/2014 C-30636 O-10599 William B. Cox CRF Relocation Costs 300.00 Just Let Me Finish CRF 7/18/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40195 Anthony DeMarco 150.00 Rondo Hondo 7/19/2014 C-30636 T-38992 Ryan Kenney 300.00 Anita Sunset Relocation Costs CRF 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-38992 Ryan Kenney 300.00 Tenille CRF 7/19/2014 C-30636 Abdulghani A. Abdulghani Relocation Costs O-40645 300.00 C Louise Abel Borg CRF 7/19/2014 C-30636 T-40028 Relocation Costs 150.00 WMA Destination O-40643 Salem Binmahfooz CRF 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs 150.00 TA Line King **CRF** 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37191 Dan Morgan 150.00 Blaise of Light **CRF** 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40732 Barbara D Baer 300.00 Gonna Go Commando **CRF** 7/19/2014 C-30636 T-40028 150.00 My Kaliber Relocation Costs Abel Bora **CRF** 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-35449 Sam A Vasquez 150.00 My Vaz is Hot CRF 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-35449 Sam A Vasquez 150.00 Sammy V **CRF** 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-35449 Sam A Vasquez 150.00 By Golly its Hot CRF 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40643 Salem Binmahfooz 150.00 TA Dance Withthe Star CRF 7/19/2014 C-30636 T-37191 Dan Morgan Relocation Costs 150.00 Canducharlie **CRF** 7/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40642 Cre-Run Enterprises LLC 150.00 In X Hess 7/19/2014 C-30636 **CRF** Relocation Costs O-30072 Nancy Klapatch 300.00 Old Standard **CRF** 7/20/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-29477 Jim Schleimer 450.00 Little Miss Fanci CRF 7/20/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-29477 Jim Schleimer 450.00 MS Dennie MHF 7/20/2014 C-30636 O-29477 Jim Schleimer CRF Relocation Costs 450.00 Geraldine MHF **CRF** 7/20/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-35449 Sam A Vasquez 150.00 Es Mi Cielo CRF 7/20/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-30086 John Qualtrough 150.00 CV Burning Desire CRF 7/20/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40195 Anthony DeMarco 150.00 Latin Coffee Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett 7/20/2014 C-30636 O-28594 CRF Relocation Costs 150.00 Gryphon Gold **CRF** 7/20/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-28594 Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett 150.00 Always a Sunrise 7/20/2014 C-30636 CRF Relocation Costs T-40195 Anthony DeMarco 150.00 Miss California **CRF** 7/26/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-29477 Jim Schleimer 150.00 TA Barn Dance 7/26/2014 C-30636 O-40755 CRF Relocation Costs Dan McCanna 300.00 Sunshine in Rio **CRF** 7/26/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-31326 Margie Cantrell 300.00 Demetrius **CRF** 7/28/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-28594 Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett 150.00 Amber Moon **CRF** 7/28/2014 C-30636 T-40028 Relocation Costs Abel Borg 150.00 Scuddydos **CRF** 7/28/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-39781 Mark Vasquez 150.00 Laurie Lu **CRF** 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-35198 Quinn Howey 300.00 Tiz True Love 8/6/2014 C-30636 Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett CRF Relocation Costs O-28594 450.00 Flight Commander **CRF** 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-39012 Paul A. Treasure 300.00 Paris in April 8/6/2014 C-30636 T-29270 Rhonda B. Davis **CRF** Relocation Costs 150.00 Hub Cap CRF 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-29270 Rhonda B. Davis 150.00 Jackson Strutt CRF 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-29270 Rhonda B. Davis 150.00 New Karma 8/6/2014 T-37206 CRF C-30636 Relocation Costs W. R. Whitehouse 300.00 Shezamover 300.00 Shanghai Voyage CRF 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse CRF 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40645 Abdulghani A. Abdulghani 150.00 C Louise CRF 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-10150 Allen J. Aldrich 450.00 Down Town Clyde 8/6/2014 Relocation Costs CRF C-30636 T-39012 Paul A. Treasure 300.00 Love My Way CRF 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40028 Abel Bora 300.00 Whadda QT **CRF** 8/6/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-30072 Nancy Klapatch 150.00 Old Standard **CRF** 8/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-39012 Paul A. Treasure 150.00 Paris in April **CRF** 8/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40732 Barbara D Baer 150.00 Gonna Go Commando 8/8/2014 C-30636 T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse CRF Relocation Costs 300.00 Great Selection CRF 8/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse 300.00 A P Phantom **CRF** 8/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-38532 Margie Cantrell 150.00 Demetrius CRF 8/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-28594 Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett 300.00 Catzanova CRF 8/9/2014 C-30636 T-38532 Relocation Costs Margie Cantrell 150.00 Atlantra's Tantrum 8/10/2014 C-30636 O-10599 CRF Relocation Costs William B. Cox 300.00 Perfect Flight CRF 8/10/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse 300.00 Buck's Tornado

300.00 Dugan Bill

450.00 Slam Bradford

Transaction Type Report For Types: RE

Jan 27,2015 11:32 AM

From 01/01/2014 To 01/27/2015

CARF Facilities: CARF Page 3 of 5 То Date Amount Memo Fac. From **Account Name** Account Name CRF 8/10/2014 C-30636 O-39464 MNM Thoroughbreds LLC 300.00 Seductive Charm Relocation Costs **CRF** 8/10/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-37401 Frank Lucarelli 300.00 Michael The Man CRF 8/10/2014 C-30636 T-37401 Frank Lucarelli 300.00 Special Holiday Relocation Costs 8/10/2014 C-30636 300.00 Wedding Kake **CRF** Relocation Costs T-37401 Frank Lucarelli CRF 8/10/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37401 Frank Lucarelli 300.00 Kenai King **CRF** 8/10/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-35449 Sam A Vasquez 150.00 Golly Braebrae CRF 8/10/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-39012 Paul A. Treasure 150.00 Love My Way CRF 8/15/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-35198 Quinn Howey 150.00 Tiz True Love CRF 8/15/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-38156 Leonard A. Espinoza 150.00 Alley Hondro **CRF** 8/15/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-30072 Nancy Klapatch 150.00 Focus Now **CRF** 8/16/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-38156 Leonard A. Espinoza 150.00 Magical Saturday **CRF** 8/16/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Jennifer Doll 8/16/2014 C-30636 O-40871 150.00 Chele **CRF** Relocation Costs Manuel & Patricia Rodriguez CRF 8/16/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-31075 Earl D. Miller 150.00 Northern Smoke 8/16/2014 C-30636 Jaqueline Smith 150.00 Up Your Plan CRF **Relocation Costs** O-34768 CRF 8/16/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse 150.00 Dugan Bill **CRF** 8/16/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-34768 Jaqueline Smith 150.00 Tiz I Is 150.00 At the Bada Bing 8/16/2014 C-30636 Jaqueline Smith CRF **Relocation Costs** O-34768 CRF 8/16/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-34768 Jaqueline Smith 150.00 General Day CRF 8/16/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Wylie N Wyatt **CRF** 8/16/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Captain Camelot **CRF** 8/16/2014 C-30636 T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Matt's Sonic Relocation Costs 8/16/2014 C-30636 Humberto P. Loma CRF Relocation Costs T-38070 450.00 Special Kind of Lady CRF 8/17/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Xcuse Me Monto CRF 8/17/2014 C-30636 O-40887 Nancy C. Finney 150.00 Turkish Fox Relocation Costs CRF 8/17/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-34275 Janice M Moody 150.00 Cowboy Jack G **CRF** 8/17/2014 C-30636 Margie Cantrell Relocation Costs T-38532 150.00 Keepherrockin CRF 8/17/2014 C-30636 T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse Relocation Costs 150.00 New Haven Line **CRF** 8/17/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-38156 Leonard A. Espinoza 150.00 Jasper **CRF** 8/17/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-38156 Leonard A. Espinoza 150.00 Skrillex **CRF** 8/17/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-39618 William B Cox 150.00 Perfect Flight James R. Craig **Relocation Costs** 150.00 Storm On the River **CRF** 8/19/2014 C-30636 T-40859 **CRF** 8/19/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-40856 Bern B. Kent 150.00 Yo Syd 8/19/2014 C-30636 T-40859 James R. Craig 150.00 Truffle's Crown **CRF** Relocation Costs **CRF** 8/19/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-40859 James R. Craig 150.00 Tucannon 8/19/2014 C-30636 Lincoln J. Suppah **CRF** Relocation Costs O-40874 150.00 Dillon's Dynamite 8/19/2014 C-30636 Lincoln J. Suppah 150.00 l'Ibeamonkeysuncle **CRF Relocation Costs** O-40874 **CRF** 8/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40874 Lincoln J. Suppah 150.00 He'll Rockvou 8/19/2014 C-30636 O-32974 Emilio Guerrero **CRF** Relocation Costs 150.00 Brother Ernie **CRF** 8/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-32974 Emilio Guerrero 150.00 Atticus Scouts **CRF** 8/19/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-39101 Mary M Boyle 150.00 Mary Jeanene 8/22/2014 C-30636 CRF T-40028 Abel Borg 150.00 RB Creed Relocation Costs **CRF** 8/22/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Xcuse Me Monto **CRF** 8/22/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Carlsbad Lagoon CRF 8/22/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse 150.00 New Haven Line CRF **Relocation Costs** 8/22/2014 C-30636 O-30072 Nancy Klapatch 150.00 Focus Now CRF 8/22/2014 C-30636 Lincoln J. Suppah Relocation Costs O-40874 150.00 Ziggy Zack **CRF** 8/22/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40859 James R. Craig 150.00 Guzzlingin **CRF** 8/22/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-40894 Patricia Rodriguez 150.00 Chele **CRF** 8/23/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse 150.00 Great Selection Lincoln J. Suppah 8/23/2014 C-30636 O-40874 **CRF** Relocation Costs 150.00 l'Ibeamonkeysuncle CRF 8/23/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-38532 Margie Cantrell 150.00 Keepherrockin CRF 8/23/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-40147 Ken Nolan 150.00 B B's Quick Action CRF 8/23/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-31075 Earl D. Miller 150.00 Northern Smoke CRF James R. Craig 8/24/2014 C-30636 T-40859 150.00 Truffles Crown Relocation Costs CRF 8/24/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-40856 Bern B. Kent 150.00 Yo Syd CRF 8/24/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-39101 Mary M Boyle 150.00 Chocolate Starr CRF 8/24/2014 C-30636 O-39101 Mary M Boyle 150.00 Mary Jeanene Relocation Costs CRF 8/24/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Jennifer Doll James R. Craig **CRF** 8/24/2014 C-30636 T-40859 150.00 Storm On the River Relocation Costs CRF 8/24/2014 C-30636 O-40874 Relocation Costs Lincoln J. Suppah 150.00 Dillon's Dynamite **CRF** 8/24/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-39694 Kenneth E. McReynolds 150.00 Matt's Sonic

Transaction Type Report For Types: RE

From 01/01/2014 To 01/27/2015

CARF Facilities: CARF Page 4 of 5 Date From То Amount Memo Fac. **Account Name Account Name** 8/24/2014 C-30636 T-40859 150.00 Dee Rain Relocation Costs James R. Craig **CRF** 8/24/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-40874 Lincoln J. Suppah 150.00 Shakalaka **CRF** 8/24/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40856 Bern B. Kent 150.00 Singularity 8/24/2014 C-30636 W. R. Whitehouse **CRF** Relocation Costs T-37206 150.00 Little Linda **CRF** 8/24/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-32974 Emilio Guerrero 150.00 Atticus Scouts **CRF** 8/24/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-40859 James R. Craig 150.00 Tucannon CRF 8/24/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40322 Margaret Baricevic 150.00 Back of the Bus 9/24/2014 C-30636 T-40028 CRF Relocation Costs Abel Borg 300.00 RB Creed **CRF** 9/24/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-28594 Mr. & Mrs. R. J. Bennett 300.00 Bridle Beauty CRF 9/24/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40322 Margaret Baricevic 300.00 Back of the Bus CRF 9/25/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-39888 Bill Patterson 300.00 Go See Dicky Bryce Gale **CRF** 9/27/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-35571 300.00 Marv 9/27/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-35571 Bryce Gale 300.00 Smarty Ed **CRF** 9/27/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse 150.00 A P Phantom CRF 9/27/2014 C-30636 300.00 Paiute Trail CRF Relocation Costs T-35571 Bryce Gale CRF 9/27/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse 300.00 Little Linda CRF 9/27/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40322 Margaret Baricevic 300.00 B B's Quick Action 9/28/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-37206 W. R. Whitehouse CRF 150.00 Buck's Tornado CRF 9/28/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-35571 Bryce Gale 300.00 Northern Torpedo CRF 9/28/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-35571 Bryce Gale 300.00 Lucky Got Even CRF 10/3/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 300.00 Sir James MHF Ken M. Danyluk **CRF** 10/3/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40325 300.00 Dartyn Kriss SWA 10/3/2014 C-30636 T-35571 CRF Relocation Costs Bryce Gale 300.00 Own It **CRF** 10/4/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 300.00 Otylia SWA CRF 10/4/2014 C-30636 T-35571 Bryce Gale 150.00 Smarty Ed Relocation Costs CRF 10/4/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40028 Abel Borg 300.00 RB Hot Buns **CRF** 10/4/2014 C-30636 O-10150 Allen J. Aldrich 150.00 Bag's of Fun Relocation Costs CRF 10/5/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 300.00 TM Maddamee **CRF** 10/5/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 150.00 Dartyn Kriss SWA **CRF** 10/5/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 300.00 Kellora SWA **CRF** 10/5/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 150.00 Sir James MHF **Relocation Costs CRF** 10/5/2014 C-30636 T-35571 Brvce Gale 150.00 Lucky Got Even **CRF** 10/8/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-37505 Jeff Metz 300.00 Forte Feroce 10/8/2014 C-30636 T-37505 Jeff Metz 450.00 English Kitty CRF Relocation Costs **CRF** 10/8/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-37505 Jeff Metz 300.00 Anytime 10/8/2014 C-30636 T-37505 300.00 Oldtimers Vision CRF Relocation Costs Jeff Metz 10/8/2014 C-30636 CRF Relocation Costs T-37505 Jeff Metz 300.00 Tax Enough **CRF** 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-38878 Timothy Francis O'Leary 450.00 Jessie Was Mine 10/8/2014 C-30636 O-35609 Jack R. Hibard 300.00 Spa City Lover CRF Relocation Costs CRF 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-30238 Michael J. Riley 300.00 Ranger Marini **CRF** 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-30308 Brice H. Underdahl 300.00 Soan **CRF** 10/8/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-34649 Andy M. Spitalny 300.00 Amp and Adrenalin Kings River Ranch CRF 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-39978 300.00 Come On Fire CRF 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-39938 John W. Pendergast 300.00 Tribal Gal CRF 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40578 Tony Citarrella or Rich 450.00 Go Go Gracie Manoogian CRF 10/8/2014 C-30636 O-39296 Ben Bryan or Ralph Clark 300.00 Big Swig Relocation Costs Peter Redekop B.C. Ltd. CRF 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-11741 300.00 Alert in Class CRF 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-31744 Mr. & Mrs. Larry D. Williams 300.00 Lumberman's Arch **CRF** 10/8/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-31744 Mr. & Mrs. Larry D. Williams 300.00 Can Can Babe T-39888 Bill Patterson 150.00 Go See Dicky **CRF** 10/9/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs CRF 10/10/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-29477 Jim Schleimer 300.00 Diets Son Quarter Moon Ranch CRF 10/10/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** O-40101 300.00 RB Moose CRF 10/10/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs O-40101 Quarter Moon Ranch 300.00 MS Dixie 10/11/2014 C-30636 T-40028 Abel Borg 150.00 RB Hot Buns CRF Relocation Costs CRF 10/11/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 150.00 Otylia SWA CRF 10/11/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-37401 Frank Lucarelli 150.00 Kenai King CRF 10/11/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-35571 Bryce Gale 150.00 Paiute Trail CRF 10/11/2014 C-30636 **Relocation Costs** T-35571 Bryce Gale 150.00 Northern Torpedo 10/11/2014 C-30636 **CRF** T-37505 Jeff Metz 150.00 Tax Enough Relocation Costs **CRF** T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 150.00 TM Maddamee 10/12/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs **CRF** 10/12/2014 C-30636 Relocation Costs T-40325 Ken M. Danyluk 300.00 Lil Rich Girl

Jan 27,2015 11:32 AM

Transaction Type Report For Types: RE

From 01/01/2014 To 01/27/2015

Jan 27,2015 11:32 AM Page 5 of 5 CARF **Facilities: CARF**

Fac.	Date From	Account Name	То	Account Name	Amount Memo
CRF	10/15/2014 C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-40325	Ken M. Danyluk	300.00 RV Roulette
CRF	10/15/2014 C-30636	Relocation Costs	O-40645	Abdulghani A. Abdulghani	300.00 Sand Legend
CRF	11/17/2014 C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-37505	Jeff Metz	150.00 Anytime
CRF	11/18/2014 C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-29270	Rhonda B. Davis	150.00 Shockher Toppher
CRF	11/18/2014 C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-40028	Abel Borg	150.00 Whadda QT
CRF	11/18/2014 C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-35571	Bryce Gale	150.00 Own It
CRF	11/18/2014 C-30636	Relocation Costs	T-35571	Bryce Gale	150.00 Marv
				-	58,650.00
				Grand Total	58,650.00

Trainer Start Incentive - Pleasanton 2014 (12 days of racing)

	2042	2011	0.4	2012	2011	0.4	
TT	2013	2014	%	2013	2014	%	n.:1
Horseman	Entries	Entries	Change	Starts	Starts	Change	Paid
Hollendorfer, Jerry	79	45	-43%	31	27	-13%	\$1,000.00
Bonde, Jeff	40	44	10%	23	25 17	9%	\$1,000.00
McCanna, Tim	21	34	62%	10		70%	\$1,000.00
Moger, Jr., Ed	30	24	-20%	13	17	31%	\$1,000.00
Morey, William E.	20	31 19	55%	12 16	17	42% 0%	\$1,000.00
Sherman, Steve M. DeLima, Clifford	23 29	22	-17% -24%	20	16 15	-25%	\$1,000.00 \$1,000.00
Eaton, Terri	29 27	19	-24% -30%	20	13	-25% -35%	\$1,000.00
Delia, William	16	19 17	-30% 6%	20 10	13	-35% 20%	\$1,000.00
	13	17	6% 8%	10	11	20% 10%	\$1,000.00
DiLaura, Sam Kasmerski, Len	6	20	233%	6	11	83%	\$1,000.00
Kenney, Ryan	9	23	255% 156%	7	11	57%	\$1,000.00
Ledezma, Sergio	17	23 17	0%	, 11	11	0%	\$1,000.00
Trujillo, Victor M.	17	32	68%	13	11	-15%	\$1,000.00
DeMarco, Anthony	0	16	NA	0	10	-13% NA	\$1,000.00
Paldanius, Sheldon W.	15	23	53%	7	10	NA 43%	\$1,000.00
•	3	23 14	367%	2	10	400%	\$1,000.00
Specht, Steven	3 17	13	-24%	12	9		\$1,000.00 \$500.00
Mason, Lloyd C.			-24% NA	0	9	-25% NA	\$500.00 \$500.00
Metz, Jeffrey	0	14					
Talbot, Michael	6	12	100%	4	9	125%	\$500.00
Bellasis, Tim	9	16 9	78%	6	8	33%	\$500.00
Dillenbeck, Bruce	4		125%	2	8	300%	\$500.00
Evans, Holly	6	9	50%	5	8	60%	\$500.00
Houle, Natalie	1	14	1300%	1	8	700%	\$500.00
Jauregui, O. J.	14	16	14%	8	8	0%	\$500.00
Knight, Terry	12	18	50%	5	8	60%	\$500.00
Kruljac, J. Eric	12	16	33%	10	8	-20%	\$500.00
March, Rhoda	6	8	33%	3	8	167%	\$500.00
Martin, John F.	23	24	4%	18	8	-56%	\$500.00
Mathis, Andy	18	15	-17%	12	8	-33%	\$500.00
Smith, Roxanne	0	11	NA	0	8	NA 2000/	\$500.00
Thomas, Jamey R.	5	12	140%	2	8	300%	\$500.00
Aguilar, Jacob Garcia	1 7	7 15	600%	1 5	7 7	600%	\$500.00
Greiner, Gary		15 9	114% 29%			40%	\$500.00
Josephson, Jedd B. McLean, Bill	7 18		-29%	5 10	7 7	40% -30%	\$500.00 \$500.00
	18	14 12	-22% -33%	10 13	7	-30% -46%	
Morey, Jr., William J.		7			7		\$500.00 \$500.00
Morgan, Dan Raymond, Dale T.	4	10	75% 400%	4	7	75% 600%	\$500.00 \$500.00
Anderson, John E.	2 2	8	300%	1 2	6	200%	\$500.00 \$500.00
Miller, Quentin B.	0	0 11	NA	0	6	200% NA	\$500.00
Naranjo, Salvador	12	11	-8%	8	6	-25%	\$500.00
Paszkeicz, Alex	11	10	-0% -9%	5	6	20%	\$500.00
Amescua, Rene	11	15	36%	5	5	0%	\$500.00
Cox, William B.	9	11	22%	5	5	0%	\$500.00
Davis, Ronda B.	0	8	NA	0	5	NA	\$500.00
Howey, Quinn	10	17	70%	6	5	-17%	\$500.00
Lage, Armando	9	8	-11%	3	5	67%	\$500.00
Lenzini, Michael		10	150%	3	5	67%	\$500.00
McDaniel, Wendell L.	4 0	7	150% NA	3 0	5	NA	\$500.00 \$500.00
McDonald, Melanie W.	2	10	400%	2	5	150%	\$500.00 \$500.00
Meier, Monty R.	10	9	-10%	8	5	-38%	\$500.00 \$500.00
Miyadi, Steven	10 17	7	-10% -59%	8 7	5 5	-38% -29%	\$500.00 \$500.00
Preciado, Guillermo	17 19	10	-39% -47%	12	5	-29% -58%	\$500.00 \$500.00
Wells, Gordon	5	10	120%	2	5 5	-56% 150%	\$500.00 \$500.00
Wright, Blaine D.	5 12	8	-33%	2	5 5	150%	\$500.00
Total	690	866	26%	408	5 05	24%	\$36,500.00
IUlal	070	000	4070	400	303	4 47/0	430,300.00

<u>Trainer Start Incentive - Cal-Expo 2014 (7 days of racing)</u>

	2013	2014	%	2013	2014	%	
Horseman	Entries	Entries	Change	Starts	Starts	Change	Paid
Victor M. Trujillo	7	28	300%	6	15	150%	\$1,500.00
Jeffrey Metz	0	22	NA	0	13	NA	\$1,500.00
Gil Matos	14	14	0%	10	11	10%	\$1,500.00
Jerry Hollendorfer	25	16	-36%	15	11	-27%	\$1,500.00
John F. Martin	18	23	28%	8	9	13%	\$800.00
Steve M. Sherman	25	25	0%	11	9	-18%	\$800.00
Gary Greiner	2	13	550%	2	8	300%	\$800.00
Jeff Bonde	8	9	13%	6	8	33%	\$800.00
William E. Morey	18	19	6%	11	8	-27%	\$800.00
Guillermo Preciado	9	12	33%	6	7	17%	\$800.00
Jamey R. Thomas	7	15	114%	6	7	17%	\$800.00
Quinn Howey	18	15	-17%	12	7	-42%	\$800.00
Salvador Naranjo	9	7	-22%	6	7	17%	\$800.00
Bill McLean	11	9	-18%	5	6	20%	\$800.00
Bruce Dillenbeck	12	10	-17%	6	6	0%	\$800.00
Steven Miyadi	14	7	-50%	5	6	20%	\$800.00
William J. Nolan	12	9	-25%	9	6	-33%	\$800.00
Anthony DeMarco	3	5	67%	2	5	150%	\$800.00
Dale T. Raymond	5	8	60%	5	5	0%	\$800.00
Doug Utley	2	6	200%	2	5	150%	\$800.00
Ed Moger, Jr.	25	15	-40%	11	5	-55%	\$800.00
Greg C. James	19	12	-37%	4	5	25%	\$800.00
Rene Amescua	12	8	-33%	8	5	-38%	\$800.00
Steven Specht	1	9	800%	1	5	400%	\$800.00
Total	276	316	14%	157	179	14%	\$22,000.00

<u>Trainer Start Incentive - Ferndale 2014 (6 days of racing)</u>

	2013	2014	%	2013	2014	%	
Horseman	Entries	Entries	Change	Starts	Starts	Change	Paid
Amescua, Rene	8	10	25%	6	6	0%	\$500.00
Borg, Abel	5	11	120%	3	8	167%	\$500.00
Cantrell, Margie	42	14	-67%	23	11	-52%	\$1,000.00
Craig, James R.	0	43	NA	0	29	NA	\$1,000.00
Greiner, Gary	46	25	-46%	30	14	-53%	\$1,000.00
Hanford, Peggie	10	10	0%	10	8	-20%	\$500.00
Houle, Natalie	0	12	NA	0	10	NA	\$1,000.00
Howey, Quinn	47	52	11%	29	32	10%	\$1,000.00
Lucas, Robert J.	0	10	NA	0	5	NA	\$500.00
McDonald, Melanie W.	6	5	-17%	4	5	25%	\$500.00
McReynolds, Kenneth E.	0	20	NA	0	14	NA	\$1,000.00
Molinaro, Kent R.	4	7	75%	1	5	400%	\$500.00
Morey, William E.	0	5	NA	0	5	NA	\$500.00
Naranjo, Salvador	9	11	22%	4	6	50%	\$500.00
Nolan, Ken J.	26	10	-62%	13	8	-38%	\$500.00
Parker, Morris F.	22	11	-50%	20	10	-50%	\$1,000.00
Preciado, Guillermo	0	10	NA	0	6	NA	\$500.00
Shelley, Helen	32	29	-9%	20	23	15%	\$1,000.00
Skinner, Diana L.	19	23	21%	16	14	-13%	\$1,000.00
Towell, Bruce	16	14	-13%	14	12	-14%	\$1,000.00
Whitehouse, W. R.	23	13	-43%	14	8	-43%	\$500.00
Total	315	345	10%	207	239	15%	\$15,500.00

Trainer Start Incentive - Stockton 2014 (6 days of racing)

	2013	2014	%	2013	2014	%	
Horseman	Entries	Entries	Change	Starts	Starts	Change	Paid
Naranjo, Salvador	10	19	90%	4	11	175%	\$1,000.00
Matos, Gill	10	13	30%	6	9	50%	\$500.00
Preciado, Guillermo	17	18	6%	12	9	-25%	\$500.00
Eaton, Terri	23	10	-57%	9	8	-11%	\$500.00
Howey, Quinn	8	13	63%	5	8	60%	\$500.00
Thomas, Jamey R.	12	15	25%	2	8	300%	\$500.00
Bellasis, Tim	13	9	-31%	8	6	-25%	\$500.00
Gale, Bryce	0	7	NA	0	6	NA	\$500.00
Hollendorfer, Jerry	10	14	40%	3	6	100%	\$500.00
James, Greg	11	7	-36%	4	6	50%	\$500.00
Mathis, Andy	10	8	-20%	4	6	50%	\$500.00
Raymond, Dale T.	7	9	29%	5	6	20%	\$500.00
Vallejo, Genaro	11	8	-27%	7	6	-14%	\$500.00
Aguilar, Jacob Garcia	0	5	NA	0	5	NA	\$500.00
Bonde, Jeff	5	7	40%	4	5	25%	\$500.00
Cantrell, Margie	2	6	200%	2	5	150%	\$500.00
Martin, John F.	7	8	14%	3	5	67%	\$500.00
Moger, Jr., Ed	6	7	17%	4	5	25%	\$500.00
Morey, William E.	18	18	0%	8	5	-38%	\$500.00
Nolan, Ken J.	1	9	800%	1	5	400%	\$500.00
Nolan, William J.	10	6	-40%	6	5	-17%	\$500.00
Trujillo, Victor M.	8	10	25%	5	5	0%	\$500.00
Whitehouse, W.R.	12	8	-33%	6	5	-17%	\$500.00
Total	211	234	11%	108	145	34%	\$12,000.00

Trainer Start Incentive - Fresno 2014 (9 days of racing)

	2013	2014	%	2013	2014	%	
Horseman	Entries	Entries	Change	Starts	Starts	Change	Paid
Martin, John F.	58	48	-17%	28	22	-21%	\$1,000.00
Bonde, Jeff	9	26	189%	6	16	167%	\$1,000.00
Morey, William E.	13	25	92%	4	16	300%	\$1,000.00
Trujillo, Victor M.	13	22	69%	7	15	114%	\$1,000.00
Howey, Quinn	26	23	-12%	10	13	30%	\$1,000.00
Vallejo, Genaro	26	13	-50%	13	13	0%	\$1,000.00
Miranda, Efrain	8	13	63%	5	12	140%	\$1,000.00
Amescua, Rene	29	19	-34%	15	11	-27%	\$1,000.00
Preciado, Guillermo	10	23	130%	8	11	38%	\$1,000.00
Greiner, Gary	20	15	-25%	11	10	-9%	\$1,000.00
Hollendorfer, Jerry	11	13	18%	7	10	43%	\$1,000.00
Paldanius, Sheldon W.	17	19	12%	9	9	0%	\$500.00
Bean, Robert	1	18	1700%	0	8	NA	\$500.00
Naranjo, Salvador	11	15	36%	9	8	-11%	\$500.00
Nolan, William J.	13	7	-46%	8	7	-13%	\$500.00
Raymond, Dale T.	6	10	67%	5	7	40%	\$500.00
Eaton, Terri	9	8	-11%	7	6	-14%	\$500.00
Gale, Bryce	5	8	60%	3	6	100%	\$500.00
Jauregui, O.J.	29	7	-76%	14	6	-57%	\$500.00
Knight, Terry	11	9	-18%	3	6	100%	\$500.00
Lenzini, Michael	22	7	-68%	11	6	-45%	\$500.00
McCanna, Tim	26	12	-54%	9	6	-33%	\$500.00
Perez, Sergio	0	13	NA	0	6	NA	\$500.00
Winick, Debbie	14	9	-36%	9	6	-33%	\$500.00
Cantrell, Margie	2	6	200%	2	5	150%	\$500.00
DeLima, Clifford	10	8	-20%	3	5	67%	\$500.00
Ledezma, Sergio	12	6	-50%	6	5	-17%	\$500.00
Mathis, Andy	0	6	NA	0	5	NA	\$500.00
McLean, Bill	4	8	100%	3	5	67%	\$500.00
Parker, Morris	0	10	NA	0	5	NA	\$500.00
Whitehouse, W.R.	0	11	NA	0	5	NA	\$500.00
Total	415	437	5%	215	271	26%	\$21,000.00

Results of CARF Program to Increase Field Size

The Fair Out-of-State Recruitment Program, administered by the California Authority of Racing Fairs, pays \$300 to every horse that travels 600 or more miles to start on the Northern California Fair Circuit for its first start and an additional \$150 for its second start (\$450 total). A runner making its first start at Ferndale receives \$150 for each of two starts, with another \$150 available if the horse starts again at Stockton and/or Fresno.

 2012 Total TB Recruitment Costs - \$66,411.71 2012 Recruitment at Tracks - \$13,711.71 2012 TB Relocation Fees Paid - \$50,700.00 2012 Trainer Incentives - \$2,000.00 Number of Horses Paid - 149 Number of TB Starts - 338 Percentage of Total Horse Population - 11%

• Number of Starts by Recruited TB Horses, by Fair:

Pleasanton - 49 (75% increase from 2011)

Sacramento - 38

Santa Rosa - 72 (9% increase from 2011)

Ferndale - 93

Stockton - 50

Fresno - 36

• Number of TB Starts by State Recruited From:

Arizona - 128 (71% increase from 2011)

Idaho - 96

Oregon - 56

Washington - 35

Utah - 13

New Mexico - 7

Nevada - 3

• Leading Trainers of Recruited Horses (by starts):

Don Roberson

Eugene Burns

W.R. Whitehouse

Tim McCanna


Paul Treasure


Kenneth McReynolds

Phil Oviedo


Mike Chambers

2012 Emerging Breed Relocation Fees - \$4,500 (42 starts) ** During Period 12/26/2011-6/18/2012





Where did out-of-state horses come from?



What does the Fair Circuit pay on average for each start by an out-of-state horse?

\$196 per starter***

Increased field size is the single largest contributor to increasing ontrack and simulcast handle.

- 1. Statistics from CHRIMS Runners Report & CARF Out-of-State Starts.
- 2. Statistics from CARF Paymaster Reports & CFSA Relocation Costs.

^{***} Cost of Recruitment at Tracks and TB Relocation Fees divided by number of Thoroughbred Starts.

Results of CARF Program to Increase Field Size

The Fair Out-of-State Recruitment Program, administered by the California Authority of Racing Fairs, pays \$300 to every horse that travels 600 or more miles to start on the Northern California Fair Circuit for its first start and an additional \$150 for its second start (\$450 total). A runner making its first start at Ferndale receives \$150 for each of two starts, with another \$150 available if the horse starts again at Fresno.

• 2011 Total Recruitment Costs - \$83,110.47 2011 Recruitment at Tracks - \$17,410.47 2011 TB Relocation Fees Paid - \$56,700.00 2011 Trainer Incentives - \$9,000.00 Number of Horses Paid - 175 Number of TB Starts - 328 (15% increase from 2010) Percentage of Total Horse Population - 11%

Number of Starts by Recruited TB Horses, by Fair:

Stockton - 14

Pleasanton - 28

Sacramento - 36

Santa Rosa - 66

Ferndale - 105 (15% increase from 2010)

Fresno - 79 (44% increase from 2010)

• Number of TB Starts by State Recruited From:

Oregon - 95

Washington - 83 (315% increase from 2010)

Arizona - 75

Idaho - 47

Canada - 9

Minnesota - 7

Nebraska - 7

Utah - 4

Florida - 1

• Leading Trainers of Recruited Horses (by starts):

Tim McCanna

Nick Lowe

Bob Beckner

Miguel Silva

Charles Essex


Michael Talbot


Gary Morris


Paul Treasure

2011 Emerging Breed Relocation Fees - \$750

** During Period 12/26/2010-6/12/2011







What does the Fair Circuit pay on average for each start by an out-of-state horse?

\$253 per starter***

Increased field size is the single largest contributor to increasing ontrack and simulcast handle.

- 1. Statistics from CHRIMS Runners Report & CARF Out-of-State Starts.
- 2. Statistics from CARF Paymaster Reports & CFSA Relocation Costs.

^{***} Cost of Recruitment at Tracks and TB Relocation Fees divided by number of Thoroughbred Starts.

Results of CARF Program to Increase Field Size

The Fair Out-of-State Recruitment Program, administered by the California Authority of Racing Fairs, pays \$300 to every horse that travels 600 or more miles to start on the Northern California Fair Circuit for its first start and an additional \$150 for its second start (\$450 total). A runner making its first start at Ferndale receives \$150 for each of two starts, with another \$150 available if the horse starts again at Fresno.

• 2010 Total Recruitment Costs - \$86,808.40*
2010 Recruitment at Tracks - \$31,108.40
2010 TB Relocation Fees Paid - \$45,150.00
2010 Trainer Incentives - \$5,300.00
Number of Horses Paid - 143
Number of Starts - 331
Number of TB Starts - 286
Percentage of Total Horse Population - 9%

 Number of Starts by Recruited TB Horses, by Fair:

Stockton - 19

Pleasanton - 35

Sacramento - 34

Santa Rosa - 52

Ferndale - 91

Fresno - 55

• Number of TB Starts by State Recruited From:

Arizona - 115

Oregon - 73

Idaho - 38

Washington - 20

Utah - 15

New Mexico - 11

Nevada - 4

Delaware - 4

Other - 5

• Leading Trainers of Recruited Horses (by starts):

Tim McCanna


Bob Beckner


W.R. Whitehouse


Brenda Estes


Nick Lowe

Phil Oviedo









\$266 per starter***

Increased field size is the single largest contributor to increasing ontrack and simulcast handle.

- $1. \ \ Statistics \ from \ CHRIMS \ Runners \ Report \ \& \ CARF \ Out-of-State \ Starts.$
- 2. Statistics from CARF Paymaster Reports & CFSA Relocation Costs.

^{*} Emerging Breed Relocation Fees - \$5,250, Does not Include CMC Reimbursement - \$20,000

^{**} During Period 1/1/10-6/20/10

^{**} Cost of Recruitment at Tracks and TB Relocation Fees divided by number of Thoroughbred Starts.

Results of CARF Program to Increase Field Size

The Fair Out-of-State Recruitment Program, administered by the California Authority of Racing Fairs, pays \$300 to every horse that travels 600 or more miles to start on the Northern California Fair Circuit for its first start and an additional \$150 for its second start (\$450 total). A runner making its first start at Ferndale receives \$150, with another \$300 available if the horse starts again at another Fair facility.

2009 Total Recruitment Costs - \$104,776.04*
 2009 Recruitment at Tracks - \$22,176.04
 2009 TB Relocation Fees Paid - \$73,600.00
 2009 Trainer Incentives - \$4,500
 Number of Horses Paid - 217
 Number of Starts - 588
 Percentage of Total Horse Population - 13%

• Number of Starts by Recruited Horses, by Fair:

Stockton - 81

Pleasanton - 82

Vallejo - 51

Santa Rosa - 74

Ferndale - 111

CARF at GGF - 43

Sacramento - 104

Fresno - 42

• Number of Starts by State Recruited From:

Arizona - 243

Idaho - 94

Oregon - 89

West Virginia - 42

Washington - 27

California (Fairplex) - 23

New Mexico - 21

Nevada - 12

Other - 60

• Leading Trainers of Recruited Horses (by starts):

Don Roberson

Keith Bennett

Justin Treasure


Kelly Bainum

Jason Homer


W.R. Whitehouse

Charles Hiatt

- * Emerging Breed Relocation Fees \$4,500, Does not Include CMC Reimbursement \$25,000
- ** During Period 1/1/09-6/18/09



(2) Where did out-of-state horses come from?



What does the Fair Circuit pay on average for each start by an out-of-state horse?

\$178 per starter

Increased field size is the single largest contributor to increasing ontrack and simulcast handle.

- $1. \ \ Statistics from \ CHRIMS \ Runners \ Report \& \ CARF \ Out-of-State \ Starts.$
- 2. Statistics from CARF Paymaster Reports & CFSA Relocation Costs.